UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,841	12/22/2003	Anthony Viole	FORFLOW.008DV1	9080
	7590 01/08/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENTI		BOCKELMAN, MARK		
IRVINE, CA 92		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3766	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		01/08/2009	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/743,	341	VIOLE ET AL.			
		Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Mark W.	Bockelman	3766			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on ti	he cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
A SHC WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Motions of time may be available under the provisions of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commoveriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the polication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed on the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠ <sup>-</sup> 3)□ \$	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2 Since this application is in condition to the closed in accordance with the practic	b) This action is or allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pi		e merits is		
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)	he specification is objected to by the	e withdrawn from ced.  tion and/or election Examiner.	onsideration. requirement.	Evaminar			
<ul> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2)  Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 10-2-2008.	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 13-23, 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mahurkar USPN 5,374,245.

Murharkar teaches a triple lumen catheter that is used for dialysis system (pump). A connector portion 354 connects to the catheter and has indicators near where the catheter is joined. The examiner sees no reason why the entire catheter up to the connector, cannot be positioned entirely within the blood vessel (including the third lumen). The catheter may have a bend shape in it (j-tip) figure 50. The various lumens are in "communication" with all vessels of the body. Applicant's statements of intended use do not distinguish over Mahurkar. Applicant would do well to claim structural differences rather than intended use differences. While Mahurkar shows the triple lumen

Art Unit: 3766

embodiment with a single end, other embodiments show multiple external ends and one -23reading the reference would conclude that such could be applied to the triple lumen embodiment. The multiple aperture on the lumens are also capable of providing a passive bypass lumen means, allowing blood into one aperture and out the other. If the tapered tips embodiment is selected and the third lumen has at least one aperture, the resulting catheter structure would look like the embodiment in figure 3 with the proximal aperature(s) capable of providing a bypass function. To the extent that the claims all read upon the embodiment shown in applicant's figure 6, the Murhurkar reference likewise reads upon the claims.

Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mahurkar USPN 5,374,245. To have included radiopaque markers on the Mahurkar device so as to enable visualization of placement in the body would have been an obvious and notoriously well known modification.

Claims 28, 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Valley et al USPN 5,766,151 (alone or in view of Cicciu et al USPN 4,897,077). Valley et al shows the placement if his catheter within the body in figure 2. Note femoral entrance access point 24 and the relative size of the catheter body 25. The examiner considers this configuration to allow blood to pass in the femoral artery past the access point due to the size differential. The examiner considers the relative size the means for and it is at least an equivalent to permit blood flow down stream. The distal tip of the catheter is shown as being position

Art Unit: 3766

in the aorta in figure 2. Different embodiments of the balloon catheter are shown beginning at figure 5a. On particular note are the embodiments of figures 8a -8c. Note outer first elongate body 432/402 and second (inner) elongate body 446 which extends distally further than the first elongate body. Also note side aperture 422. Although access site 24 is not depicted up close one would realize that the relative size differences would allow flow past the access point in the femoral artery. Otherwise, as noted in Cicciu (claim 1. item (f)), it is important to maintain flow into the femoral artery so as to not block blood flow to the legs of the patient. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this is an inherent feature to Valley, to size the catheters to not block blood flow, or at least an obvious one. To have used a tapered sheath as a means would also have been obvious.

Claims 28, 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Cicciu et al. USPN 4,897,077. The examiner applies a similar interpretation Cicciu et al as that of Valley. The examiner interprets the first elongate member to be the IAB which inherently is positioned in the aorta, and the second elongate member to be the sheath 54. The sheath shape is tapered specifically to provide a passive means for permiting blood flow down stream of the insertion point. Note that tip 52 is positioned between the access point and the aorta.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10-20-2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's amendments to the independent claims merely recite

Application/Control Number: 10/743,841 Page 5

Art Unit: 3766

statements of intended use of the catheter placement rather than a structural distinction.

As far as the means plus function language the examiner considers the Murharkar structure alone or as modified by Cicciu et al. to be an equivalent to applicant's identified structure in his specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W. Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Layno can be reached on (571) 272 -4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark W Bockelman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3766 January 5, 2009 Application/Control Number: 10/743,841

Page 6

Art Unit: 3766